

## GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

### ABSTRACT

Public Services – I&CAD Dept. – Sri P.Mohan Reddy, Executive Engineer, IB, Division, Nirmal - Departmental proceedings under rule 20 of APCS(CC&A) Rules 1991 - Further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

---

#### IRRIGATION & CAD (SER.IV.1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No: 275

Dated:21.02.2009.  
Read the following:

1. G.O.Rt.No.705, dt.05.06.2008 of I&CAD(Ser.IV.1) Dept.
2. WSSD submitted dt.20.06.2008 of Sri P.Mohan Reddy, Executive engineer, IB, Division, Nirmal.
3. Govt.Memo No.8922/Ser.IV.1/2008-1, dt.18.08.2008.
4. From ENC(AW) I&CAD Dept. Hyd. Lr.No.Rc/ENC/SII(1)/78060/08, dt.20.10.2008.

\*\*\*

### ORDER:-

In the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, articles of charges have been issued on certain allegations against Sri P.Mohan Reddy, while functioning as Executive Engineer, IB Division, Nirmal, after rightly cancelling the first call tenders for the four field channel works of Kothur Tank Reach-I Kothur tank Reach-II and Jhari and Lakshmipur village tanks, called the tenders a second time. He did not act upon the representation of one Sri L.Krishna Prasad Reddy dt.30.05.2006, alleging that he was prevented by the followers of one Sri A.Narayana Reddy is rival contractor, from dropping his tenders into the tender box. Even adverse press reports in the Telugu dailies Eenadu and Andhra Jyothi on 31.05.2006 did not goad the Executing Engineer into canceling the tenders. Instead all the four works were entrusted to the said Sri A.Narayana Reddy against whom the compliant was made, even though three of the four works were only single tenders. Thus by his inaction and subsequent action of entrusting all the works to Sri A.Narayana Reddy, the Executive Engineer., Sri P.Mohan Reddy has given scope to suspicion that he has unduly favoured the said Sri A.Narayana Reddy for obvious reasons, which amounts to misconduct and misbehavior in violation of Rule (3) of APCS (Conducts) Rules, 1964.

2. In the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, the charged officer has submitted his WSSD stating that he has not committed any irregularity amounting to misconduct, misbehavior in violation of rule (3) of APCS (Conducts) Rules, 1964 as he has acted duly following the rules in force and in letting out the works to the contractors within the powers of the Executive Engineer.

3. In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, the Engineer-in-Chief (AW) was directed conduct regular enquiry under rule 20(2) of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 into the charges framed against Sri P.Mohan Reddy, EE, IB Division, Nirmal by appointing a competent senior engineer not below the rank of any Superintending Engineer and furnish a detailed report in this regard. In response to the above memo, the Engineer-in-Chief(AW) has appointed the Superintending Engineer, IC, Nirmal as an enquiry officer in this case and submitted an enquiry report of the EO vide his letter dated.20.10.2008 in the reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above. The EO in his findings has stated that there is no merit

in the representation of Sri L.Krishna Prasad Reddy, Contractor and also opined that they accused officer has not committed any irregularity and taken action in accordance with the rules in awarding works to Sri A.Narayana Reddy Contractor.

4. Government after careful examination of the entire issue, further, action against Sri P.Mohan Reddy, Executive Engineer, ID Division, Nirmal is hereby dropped.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**S.P.TUCKER**  
**PRL.SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To  
The individual through the Engineer-in-Chief (Aw), Irrigation &CAD Department, Hyderabad.  
The Engineer-in-Chief (AW) Irrigation &CAD Department, Hyderabad.  
Copy to the Registrar, Andhra Pradesh Loka-Yukta with reference to his letter Dis. No.92/2007/B2/2963/2008, dt.18.03.2008.  
Copy to the Irrigation &CAD (Ser.II) Section.  
SF/SC.

**// FORWARDED BY ORDER //**

**SECTION OFFICER**